## ISSN: 2985-7139, DOI: 10.58812/esaf.v3i02

# The Role of Anchoring Bias in Corporate Financial Forecasting and **Budgeting in Indonesia**

## Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta

#### **Article Info**

#### Article history:

Received Mar, 2025 Revised Mar, 2025 Accepted Mar, 2025

#### Keywords:

**Anchoring Bias Budgeting Accuracy** Cognitive Biases **Decision-Making** Financial Forecasting

#### **ABSTRACT**

Anchoring bias is a significant cognitive bias that affects decisionmaking processes, particularly in financial forecasting and budgeting. This study investigates the role of anchoring bias in forecasting and financial budgeting among companies in Indonesia using a quantitative approach. A sample of 45 financial professionals from diverse industries participated, with data collected through a structured questionnaire utilizing a 5-point Likert scale. The findings indicate a moderate to high prevalence of anchoring bias, which significantly correlates with forecasting inaccuracies. Regression analysis further reveals that anchoring bias explains 34% of the variance in financial planning errors. These results underscore the critical impact of cognitive biases on financial decision-making, emphasizing the need for targeted strategies to mitigate anchoring bias in corporate settings. Recommendations include training programs, structured decision-making frameworks, and technological support to improve financial accuracy and strategic planning.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.



#### Corresponding Author:

Name: Loso Judijanto Institution: IPOSS Jakarta

Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Anchoring bias is a significant cognitive bias affecting financial forecasting and budgeting, where initial information or disproportionately estimates influence subsequent judgments, often leading to suboptimal financial decisions as individuals may not adequately adjust their forecasts based on new data. This bias is welldocumented in various fields, including accounting and investment decision-making, where it can distort judgment and lead to strategic errors. In accounting, estimates can skew subsequent evaluations decisions, resulting in adverse consequences for businesses and organizations [1]. In business decisionmaking, anchoring bias, along with other reduce cognitive biases, can decision efficiency and lead to strategic mistakes and resource wastage, but addressing these biases through psychological interventions improve decision-making outcomes Although enhancing financial literacy can reduce susceptibility to certain cognitive biases, it may not directly address anchoring bias, making it essential for financial education programs to incorporate strategies to recognize and counteract anchoring effects [3]. Furthermore, in public budgeting, understanding individual judgment behavior and integrating insights from psychology and economics can help develop strategies to mitigate cognitive biases, including anchoring bias [4]. Understanding and mitigating this bias is crucial for improving the accuracy of financial planning and decision-making processes.

Anchoring bias significantly impacts financial forecasting and budgeting, particularly in dynamic economies like Indonesia, as it leads decision-makers to rely heavily on initial data or estimates, which can skew financial predictions and resource allocations. In Indonesia, where diverse organizational structures and cultural influences prevail, this bias can exacerbate the challenges of financial planning, affecting investment decisions, pricing, and risk management, thereby necessitating strategies to mitigate its effects. Anchoring bias can lead to inaccurate financial forecasts by causing overreliance on historical data or initial estimates that may not reflect current market conditions [3], [5]. In investment decisions, this bias can result in suboptimal risk assessments, as investors may fail to adjust their evaluations based on new [3], [6]. The bias also affects pricing and valuation decisions, where initial price points or valuations can unduly influence subsequent judgments [7], [8]. Indonesia's diverse corporate landscape, with varying levels of financial sophistication, can amplify the effects of anchoring bias, as different organizations may interpret and react to initial data differently [9]. Additionally, cultural influences, such as hierarchical decision-making and respect for authority, may further entrench anchoring bias, as initial estimates from senior figures may be less likely to be questioned [9]. Strategies to mitigate anchoring bias include increasing awareness and reflection among financial encouraging professionals [7], diverse perspectives and independent analysis to provide a broader view that counteracts the narrow focus induced by anchoring [7], and decision-making tools utilizing considering multiple anchors to help adjust initial estimates to better reflect current realities [7].

Despite the critical role of financial forecasting and budgeting in organizational success, limited empirical research has been

conducted to examine the influence of cognitive biases, particularly anchoring bias, on these processes within Indonesian companies. Previous studies in behavioral finance have largely focused on developed economies, leaving a significant gap in understanding the implications of such biases in emerging markets like Indonesia.

Anchoring bias can have far-reaching consequences for Indonesian companies, where market volatility and limited access to advanced financial tools often necessitate reliance on heuristic-based decision-making. Without a clear understanding of how anchoring bias affects financial planning, organizations risk perpetuating errors that undermine their competitiveness and longterm sustainability.

This study aims to address the research gap by investigating the role of anchoring bias in financial forecasting and budgeting within Indonesian companies, with specific objectives that include assessing the prevalence of anchoring bias among financial professionals in Indonesia, evaluating its impact on forecasting and budgeting accuracy, and identifying strategies for mitigating anchoring bias to improve financial decision-making practices.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Anchoring Bias in Decision-Making

Anchoring bias significantly forecasting impacts financial budgeting by causing decision-makers to rely heavily on initial information, often leading to suboptimal outcomes. This bias is prevalent in situations of uncertainty or where incomplete information, individuals tend to anchor their estimates to familiar reference points, even if they are irrelevant or inaccurate, introducing systematic forecasting errors into particularly in dynamic processes, environments like financial planning, where adaptability is crucial. Anchoring bias affects investment choices and asset value assessments, leading to suboptimal strategies and misjudgements about market values [7]. In marketing, the price anchoring effect manipulates consumer perceptions of value, influencing profitability by setting external anchor values 2024). Additionally, (Jin, behavioral biases, including anchoring, investment decisions, resulting in less effective strategies due to reliance on initial information (- & -, 2024). Understanding anchoring bias is essential for developing strategies to mitigate its negative impacts, such as creating more accurate pricing models and market Moreover, integrating forecasts [7]. with behavioral finance principles strategies to counteract cognitive biases can promote financial resilience and adaptability [10]. Empirical reviews suggest the need for bias mitigation strategies to enhance rational investment decision-making, particularly in volatile markets [11].

## 2.2 Forecasting and Financial Budgeting in Corporate Contexts

Forecasting and financial budgeting are crucial for corporate enabling decision-making, efficient resource allocation, strategic goal-setting, performance measurement. However, human judgment remains prone to biases like anchoring, which can distort financial projections and undermine strategic decisions. Integrating advanced forecasting methods, such as machine learning and econometric models, enhances accuracy and mitigates biases. Machine learning techniques, including regression, time series analysis, and neural networks, improve budget forecasting by processing large datasets and identifying patterns missed by traditional methods [12]. Econometric models like ARIMA and VAR incorporate key economic variables, enhancing prediction accuracy assessing external financial influences [13]. Time series methods, such as exponential smoothing and ARIMA, integrated with business intelligence tools, support dynamic forecasting and financial efficiency [14]. For SMEs, budgeting and strategic revenue management help align financial plans

with business goals, with techniques like zero-based budgeting and rolling budgets providing essential flexibility [15].

## 2.3 Behavioral Factors Influencing Financial Decision-Making

Behavioral finance examines how psychological factors and cognitive biases, such as anchoring bias, influence financial decision-making. Anchoring bias occurs when individuals rely too on initial information estimates, leading to suboptimal financial decisions, particularly in budgeting, where managers may anchor to previous budgets without considering new market conditions or technological changes. Other biases, such as risk aversion and loss aversion, can exacerbate anchoring bias, resulting in conservative budgeting practices misaligned with realities. Anchoring bias leads managers to depend on historical data or initial estimates, potentially overlooking market dynamics and technological advancements [16]. In investment strategies, this bias can reduce returns by 10%, underscoring its significant financial impact [17]. Furthermore, risk aversion and loss aversion intensify anchoring bias, as managers may adopt conservative approaches to avoid perceived risks or losses [11], [16]Loss aversion, affecting 85% of cases, can contribute to a 5% negative return impact, highlighting its role in reinforcing anchoring bias [17]. To mitigate these effects, behavioral finance suggests debiasing strategies such as scenario analysis and structured decisionmaking [16]. Additionally, educating investors and managers about cognitive biases can enhance decision-making processes and promote market stability

## 2.4 Mitigating Anchoring Bias in Corporate **Practices**

Increasing awareness anchoring implementing bias and structured decision-making frameworks are effective strategies to mitigate its influence in corporate decision-making. Training programs that enhance

awareness of cognitive biases empower decision-makers to recognize and counteract these biases, leading to improved organizational performance [19]. Enhancing financial literacy through education further supports informed investment decisions, reducing impact of anchoring bias [20]. Additionally, structured frameworks like and sensitivity analysis encourage a comprehensive evaluation of multiple data points, minimizing reliance on a single anchor [19]. These frameworks are particularly valuable for companies in Indonesia, where cultural and contextual factors significantly influence financial planning, thereby improving forecasting budgeting accuracy Technological tools, such as AI and explainable AI (XAI), play a crucial role in mitigating anchoring bias by providing insights objective and reducing dependence on subjective judgments [22]. Furthermore, financial modeling software and data analytics platforms enhance real-time data analysis, supporting more accurate and reliable decision-making processes [22].

#### 2.5 Anchoring Bias in the Indonesian Corporate Context

The corporate environment in Indonesia, shaped by rapid economic growth and evolving regulations, presents complex financial decisionmaking challenges. Cognitive biases, especially anchoring, are prevalent among managers who rely on outdated performance metrics and industry benchmarks, reinforced by hierarchical structures and a preference for consensus [23]. These factors amplify the influence of senior decision-makers and discourage critical evaluation of initial estimates. Overconfidence bias further investment decisions, leading to excessive risk-taking and reduced returns [24] Additionally, herding behavior, driven by fear of missing out (FoMO), significantly impacts investment choices [23], [25]. Hierarchical decision-making strengthens cognitive biases, as subordinates rarely

challenge initial anchors set by senior executives [26], The consensus-driven culture in Indonesian businesses also limits independent scrutiny of financial estimates, exacerbating anchoring bias [27].

### 2.6 Research Gap and Contribution

While extensive research has explored the impact of cognitive biases on decision-making, there is empirical evidence on the specific role of anchoring bias in forecasting financial budgeting, particularly in the Indonesian corporate context. This study seeks to address this gap by examining the extent to which anchoring bias influences financial planning accuracy in Indonesian companies and identifying effective strategies for mitigating its effects. By integrating insights from behavioral finance and corporate management, this research aims contribute to the development of more robust financial decision-making practices in Indonesia.

#### 3. RESEARCH METHODS

### 3.1 Research Design

This study employed a quantitative research design to investigate the role of anchoring bias in forecasting and financial budgeting among companies in Indonesia. The research focused on examining relationship between the prevalence of anchoring bias and the accuracy financial planning processes. leveraging a survey-based methodology, the study collected primary data from respondents who are directly involved in financial decision-making within their organizations.

#### 3.2 Population and Sample

The population for this study comprised corporate professionals in Indonesia responsible for financial forecasting and budgeting processes, including financial managers, accountants, and decision-makers in various industries. A purposive sampling technique was employed to ensure that

79

respondents had relevant experience and knowledge in financial decision-making.

The sample size consisted of 45 respondents, deemed sufficient exploring the patterns and relationships in the study's scope. The respondents were selected from diverse industries to enhance the generalizability of findings across different sectors within the Indonesian corporate landscape.

### 3.3 Data Collection

Data were collected through a structured questionnaire designed to capture the extent of anchoring bias and its impact on financial forecasting and budgeting accuracy. The questionnaire included demographic information, such as respondents' roles, years of experience, and industry sectors, as well as items measuring the tendency to rely on initial estimates or historical data in decisionmaking. Additionally, it assessed forecasting and budgeting accuracy by examining deviations between projected and actual financial outcomes. Responses were quantified using a 5-point Likert ranging from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree"). Prior to distribution, the questionnaire was pilot-tested on a small group professionals to ensure clarity and reliability.

#### 3.4 Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25, following several key steps. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondents' demographic characteristics and provide an overview of the data. Reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha to assess the internal consistency of the questionnaire. Correlation analysis between explored the relationship indicators anchoring bias and forecasting/budgeting accuracy, while regression analysis determined the extent which anchoring bias inaccuracies in financial planning. These statistical methods helped identify patterns and significant relationships,

offering insights into the role of anchoring bias in corporate financial decisionmaking.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Results

#### a. Descriptive Statistics

The demographic analysis of the respondents showed that the majority were financial managers (60%), followed by accountants (25%) and senior decision-makers (15%). The majority of the respondents also had over five years of experience in financial planning, which ensured a high level of competency among the industries participants. The represented included manufacturing (30%), retail (25%), services (20%), and other industries (25%).

The response to anchoring bias measures indicated that most of the participants had reliance on initial estimates in their prediction tasks. With a 5-point Likert scale, the mean value for anchoring bias measures was 3.8, indicating a moderate to high level of presence of the bias in financial decision-making.

## b. Reliability Analysis

Cronbach's The alpha reliability test of the questionnaire yielded 0.85, indicating good internal consistency of the items of the survey. This confirms that the questionnaire was effective in measuring intended constructs.

#### c. Correlation Analysis

The correlation analysis illustrated a positive strong correlation between anchoring bias and forecast errors (r = 0.62, p < 0.01). This indicates that rising levels of anchoring bias are associated with greater differences between financial forecasts and actual outcomes.

### d. Regression Analysis

The regression analysis also revealed that anchoring bias was a significant predictor of forecasting and budgeting errors ( $\beta$  = 0.58, p < 0.01). The finding suggests that anchoring bias explains approximately 34% of the variance in financial planning errors. The results point to the significant role of cognitive biases in corporate decisionmaking.

#### 4.2 Discussion

#### **Bias** a. Anchoring in **Financial Forecasting**

The evidence confirms that anchoring bias is prevalent among financial practitioners in Indonesia significantly influences accuracy of forecasting budgeting processes. The evidence concurs with the previous research of [1,2,3] that demonstrated anchoring bias is one of determinants of decision-making under uncertainty.

The moderate to high prevalence of anchoring bias shows that a vast majority of professionals anchor on past data or initial estimates and do not adjust more recent adequately for information. This could be attributed either to unawareness of cognitive biases or the absence of formal decision-making models in financial planning.

This study contributes to the growing body of behavioral finance literature by providing empirical evidence of anchoring bias's role in financial decision-making in the corporate environment of Indonesia. By emphasizing the significant role of cognitive biases on budgeting and forecasting processes, this research underlines the necessity integrating behavioral elements in financial management practice.

## **b.** Implications for Corporate Practices

The strong correlation between anchoring bias and forecast errors validates the imperative of organizations addressing cognitive biases in financial processes. The

findings highlight the need for strategies such as:

- a. Training Programs: Educating financial professionals to identify and minimize anchoring bias.
- Structured Decision Frameworks: Encouraging the use of tools like scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis to foster objective decision-making.
- Technological Support: Leveraging financial modeling software and data analytics packages to reduce reliance on subjective judgements.
- d. For Indonesian companies, these strategies can enhance reliability of financial planning, leading to more effective resource allocation and strategic decisionmaking.

#### c. Cultural and Contextual Considerations

The study also offers a glimpse of how cultural factors play a role in financial decision-making Indonesia. The processes in hierarchical organizational structures in most prevalent Indonesian companies can compound the impact of anchoring bias, since the initial estimates established by higher-ups can have an inordinate influence on subsequent decisions.

Also, the consensus culture present in most Indonesian organizations can discourage critical evaluation of initial predictions, further strengthening the effect of anchoring bias. There is a need to tackle these cultural forces formulate Indonesian business environment-specific interventions.

## d. Limitations and Future Research Directions

While this study has practical implications, its findings are also beset by some limitations. The sample size of 45 respondents, sufficient for exploratory analysis, may not capture the diversity of

financial decision-making practices across all industries in Indonesia. Larger and more diverse samples need to be taken into consideration in future research to enhance the generalizability of findings.

Besides, this study relied on self-reported data, which may be liable to social desirability or recall biases. Quantitative methodology used may be supplemented with qualitative methodology, such as interviews or case studies, to obtain a more profound understanding of the role of anchoring bias in financial decision-making.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The study establishes the significant role of anchoring bias in financial forecasting and budgeting mistakes in Indonesian companies. Findings indicate that anchoring bias is moderately to highly pervasive, with a significant effect on the reliability of financial planning. The strong correlation and predictive relationship between anchoring

bias and forecasting errors underscore the importance for organizations to address cognitive biases in decision-making. To thwart these influences, organizations need to focus on training programs to sensitize and equip professionals with techniques to recognize and negate biases, formal decision frameworks such as scenario and sensitivity analyses to reduce reliance on subjective judgments, and technology support, such as advanced financial modeling and data analytics software, to enable injecting greater objectivity into decision-making.

This study contributes to behavioral finance research by revealing empirical evidence of anchoring bias in the corporate environment in Indonesia. Despite limitations with regard to sample size and self-reported data, the findings suggest the importance of future studies with larger, more diverse samples, and mixed-methods approaches. Future research can investigate further cognitive biases and their interplay in financial decision-making to generate a deeper understanding of behavioral finance in emerging markets.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] R. Camilli, M. Cristofaro, I. Hristov, and M. Sargiacomo, "Cognitive biases in accounting judgment and decision making: a review, a typology, and a future research agenda," in *Accounting Forum*, 2024, pp. 1–30.
- [2] C. K. Tripathy, "Role of psychological biases in the cognitive decision making process of individual investors," *Orissa J. Commer.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 2014.
- [3] D. Wang and T. Zou, "Financial literacy, cognitive bias and personal investment decisions: A new perspective in behavioral finance," *Environ. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 1–21, 2024.
- [4] T. Overmans, "Exploring Cognitive Bias Effects on Budget Judgment Behavior: Scoping Review and Research Agenda," *Public Financ. Manag.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 153–167, 2024.
- [5] R. A. McEwen and M. Jeanne Welsh, "The effect of bias on decision usefulness: A review of behavioral financial accounting research," *Adv. Account. Behav. Res.*, pp. 3–24, 2001.
- [6] N. Jain and B. Kesari, "The impact of behavioral biases on financial risk tolerance of investors and their decision making." Academic Press, 2022.
- [7] B. Wang, "The Impact of Anchoring Bias on Financial Decision-Making: Exploring Cognitive Biases in Decision-Making Processes," Stud. Psychol. Sci., vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 41–50, 2023.
- [8] A. Furnham and H. C. Boo, "A literature review of the anchoring effect," J. Socio. Econ., vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 35–42, 2011.
- [9] F. Ni, D. Arnott, and S. Gao, "The anchoring effect in business intelligence supported decision-making," *J. Decis. Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 67–81, 2019.
- [10] Y. Zhu, "Behavioral Finance: Bridging Psychology and Economics for Rational Financial Decisions," J. Appl. Econ. Policy Stud., vol. 14, pp. 73–76, 2024.
- [11] S. A. Zahera and R. Bansal, "Do investors exhibit behavioral biases in investment decision making? A systematic review," *Qual. Res. Financ. Mark.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 210–251, 2018.
- [12] J. Olamijuwon and S. J. C. Zouo, "Machine learning in budget forecasting for corporate finance: A conceptual model for improving financial planning," 2024.
- [13] A. Nugroho, M. A. Patty, and A. Karto, "Development of Econometric Models for Financial Performance Forecasting in Companies," *Int. J. Manag. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 381–389, 2024.
- [14] K. Grobler-Dębska, R. Mularczyk, B. Gawęda, and E. Kucharska, "Time Series Methods and Business Intelligent Tools for Budget Planning—Case Study," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 287, 2024.
- [15] N. I. Okeke, O. A. Bakare, and G. O. Achumie, "Forecasting financial stability in SMEs: A comprehensive analysis of

- strategic budgeting and revenue management," Open Access Res. J. Multidiscip. Stud., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 139-149, 2024. [16] R. Ranjan, "Behavioural Finance in Banking and Management: A Study on the Trends and Challenges in the Banking Industry," Asian J. Econ. Bus. Account., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 374-386, 2025.
- V. R. Podile, M. A. Varun, S. Dhanurmika, G. Harsha, and G. G. S. Abhijit, "Artificial Intelligence Based Behavioural [17] Finance in Shaping Investment Strategies to Analysis of Key Biases and Heuristics," J. Comput. Allied Intell. (JCAI,
- ISSN 2584-2676), vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 1–18, 2024. A. N. B. Utama, J. Sangaji, and D. Rimbano, "The Influence of Behavioral Finance on Investment Decision-Making: [18] Understanding the Role of Overconfidence and Risk Perception in Stok Market Trends," Indo-Fintech Intellectuals J. Econ. Bus., vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 3132-3144, 2024.
- [19] B. Fasolo, C. Heard, and I. Scopelliti, "Mitigating cognitive bias to improve organizational decisions: an integrative review, framework, and research agenda," J. Manage., p. 01492063241287188, 2024.
- A. Masini and E. Menichetti, "The impact of behavioural factors in the renewable energy investment decision making [20] process: Conceptual framework and empirical findings," Energy Policy, vol. 40, pp. 28–38, 2012.
- [21] X. Yuwen, "Research on Common Cognitive Biases and Mutual Influence in Corporate Decision Making," in SHS Web of Conferences, 2024, vol. 208, p. 2009.
- F. Haag, C. Stingl, K. Zerfass, K. Hopf, and T. Staake, "Overcoming Anchoring Bias: The Potential of AI and XAI-[22] based Decision Support," arXiv Prepr. arXiv2405.04972, 2024.
- M. O. U. Binu, "The Influence of Heuristic and Herding Behavior on Investment Decisions through Fomo on Retail [23] Investors in Indonesia.," J. Indones. Sos. Teknol., vol. 5, no. 9, 2024.
- K. Kartini and K. NAHDA, "Behavioral biases on investment decision: A case study in Indonesia," J. Asian Financ. [24] Econ. Bus., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1231-1240, 2021.
- [25] P. Yuana, Y. Kornitasari, and A. Manzilati, "The behavioral biases on investment decision behavior: Evidence from Indonesia," Edelweiss Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1586-1597, 2024.
- [26] N. Kamila, "Corporate Governance Dan Keputusan Struktur Modal Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Di Indonesia: Corporate Governance and Capital Structure Decisions of Manufacturing Companies in Indonesia," Anterior J., vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 51-56, 2024.
- L. A. Mahastanti and E. Hariady, "Determining the factors which affect the stock investment decisions of potential [27] female investors in Indonesia," Int. J. Process Manag. Benchmarking, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 186-197, 2014.