

Legal Strategy for Intellectual Property Protection in the Era of Open-source and Creative Commons in Indonesia

Andrew Shandy Utama¹, Ade Pratiwi Susanty²

¹ Universitas Lancang Kuning

² Universitas Lancang Kuning

Article Info

Article history:

Received Oct, 2023

Revised Oct, 2023

Accepted Oct, 2023

Keywords:

Creative Commons

Indonesia

Intellectual Provert

Open-source

ABSTRACT

This study navigates Indonesia's shifting IP protection landscape by examining the advantages and challenges of coexisting traditional IP regimes with open-source projects and Creative Commons license. In this age of collaborative innovation, the article focuses on legal strategies for adjusting to the dynamic interplay between proprietary and open models. This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining legal analysis, case studies, interviews, and surveys, to explore the impact of open-source dynamics, provide flexible legal solutions, and highlight the benefits and drawbacks of existing intellectual property laws. The study's findings contribute to the ongoing discussion about establishing a harmonious atmosphere that fosters innovation while upholding the rights of those who create. The creative community, lawmakers, and companies can all benefit from the recommendations.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Andrew Shandy Utama

Institution: Universitas Lancang Kuning

Email: andrewshandyutama@unilak.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Open-source initiatives and intellectual property (IP) have a complicated and nuanced relationship. It entails striking a careful balance between upholding creators' rights and promoting an atmosphere that encourages creativity and teamwork.

For example, most software in the field of bioinformatics is licensed under open-source, but there is growing interest in commercializing this software, which may result in patent protection. But discussions concerning how this commercialization trend would affect other important facets of developing scientific software, such as reproducibility and sustainability, have been triggered. Regarding publication and

commercialization rights, there appears to be a notable conflict between mainstream scientific academics and scientific software developers. Although there has been a little increase in the quantity of bioinformatics patents, many developers are reluctant to patent because of the transaction expenses involved [1].

The state of intellectual property (IP) is a major factor in stimulating inventive activity in the larger context of industrial production. Innovation rent, which results from the diffusion of innovations, is the primary source of payment in the intellectual property market. Nevertheless, no steady dynamics in the evolution of the IP institution have been noted, even in the face of a rise in patent activity [2].

The interaction of national patent and copyright laws with unofficial user-generated standards has a big impact on how open-source projects are governed. National patent laws, for example, do not appear to have a major impact on the establishment and management of open-source bioinformatics communities. On the other hand, legal reform aimed at promoting copyright licensing-based private ordering techniques could prove beneficial [3].

Standard development organizations' (SDOs) and open-source software communities' (OSS) intellectual property policies also affect their willingness and capacity to collaborate. Important insights into this relationship can be gained from a thorough examination of the interactions between SDOs and OSS communities [4].

The conflict between free and open access to educational materials and intellectual property rights is getting more and more noticeable in the field of education. In order to preserve the rights and interests of knowledge producers, defend their ability to create new information, and guarantee the long-term viability of the sharing of educational resources, intellectual property rights must be protected [5].

The conventional ideas of intellectual property (IP) exclusivity are in fact challenged by the rise of open-source initiatives and Creative Commons licenses. This paradigm change is especially noticeable in the software sector, where new business models have emerged as a result of open-source initiatives. Copyright protection for traditional software has led to the emergence of near-monopolies like Apple and Microsoft. Nonetheless, this exclusivity has been contested by movements like copyright, patent commons, free and open-source software, and others [6].

This change is especially noticeable in Indonesia, a nation with a distinct legal and cultural environment and a developing role in the global digital economy. The nation has national laws governing Traditional Cultural Expressions (TCEs), yet putting these laws into practice can be difficult. In the age of the digital economy, safeguarding intellectual and cultural property is crucial. One example

is the Angklung, a musical instrument that UNESCO has designated as an Intangible Cultural Heritage [7]. The methods of protection for private and collective intellectual property overlap, and there is a poor interaction between the organizations in charge of protecting intellectual property and culture. Harmonization of legal instruments in cultural and intellectual property problems is necessary in light of this situation [7].

Beyond software, the Creative Commons license has found use in educational multimedia systems like the open-source ePresence system [8]. This license broadens the definition of open-source beyond software by enabling creators to share their works while maintaining some rights.

Indonesia faces difficulties with copyright protection in the context of the digital economy [9]. For example, extracurricular activities produce a lot of potential intellectual property for Indonesian high school students. They haven't been able to classify the possibility of intellectual property protection according to the work that has been produced, though [10]. This emphasizes how important it is to comprehend intellectual property rules better in order to sustain the digital economy.

In summary, it is a complicated process to evolve intellectual property protection in the dynamic world of open-source movements and Creative Commons licenses. It entails striking a balance between granting creators the necessary rights to be retained and permitting sharing and cooperative work progress. Given Indonesia's distinct legal and cultural background, as well as its expanding significance in the global digital economy, this process is especially fascinating there.

A variety of difficulties and opportunities present themselves as the lines separating proprietary and open models become hazier. The efficiency of the current legal frameworks is called into question when open-source and Creative Commons licensing are placed next to traditional IP protection systems. In a setting that promotes group creativity and extensive sharing, how can intellectual property be safeguarded? Which

legal approaches can balance the requirement of protecting creators' rights with the need for innovation? In the Indonesian setting, traditional intellectual property protection, open-source dynamics, and Creative Commons licensing interact in a subtle way that this study aims to shed light on.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Intellectual Property in Indonesia

As a fast-expanding participant in the global digital economy, Indonesia has seen a notable change in its intellectual property policies. Copyright, patent, and trademark laws are all part of the current legal structure and are intended to safeguard the rights of creators and innovators [11], [12]. Nevertheless, a thorough examination reveals this framework's advantages and disadvantages. Literary, artistic, and musical works are protected by copyright laws, but patent and trademark laws find it difficult to keep up with the rapid advancements in technology and the creative sectors [12], [13]. An essential background for evaluating the effects of open-source projects and Creative Commons licensing is having a solid understanding of the subtleties of Indonesian intellectual property legislation.

2.2. Open-source and Creative Commons Movements

With its roots mostly in software development, the open-source movement has expanded to become a powerful global force that is upending established intellectual property regimes. Open-source, with its foundations in transparency and cooperation, has spread beyond its early adopters to impact a wide range of industries. Likewise, Creative Commons licenses offer an adaptable structure that permits authors to distribute their creations while defining the rights awarded

[14]–[16]. In order to comprehend the influence of open-source and Creative Commons on intellectual property, this section analyzes their historical development, guiding principles, and practical uses [14], [17], [18].

2.3. Global Perspectives on IP Protection in Open Environments

To put Indonesia's intellectual property situation in the larger international context, a comparative study of worldwide viewpoints is necessary. Different nations approach balancing intellectual property protection with the values of transparency and cooperation in different ways. Some countries have adopted open models, believing that these spur innovations, while others stick to more conventional, constrictive methods. This section looks at several worldwide methods, illuminating the range of ways that intellectual property is approached in public settings [19]–[22].

2.4. Challenges and Opportunities in the Intersection of IP and Open Models

There are opportunities and challenges when it comes to the convergence of intellectual property with open-source and Creative Commons licensing. Difficulties include the requirement for sophisticated legal interpretations, potential conflicts between open and proprietary models, and enforceability difficulties. Conversely, chances present themselves in the shape of heightened cooperation, quickened innovation, and more widespread access to information and artistic creations. In order to offer a thorough grasp of the intricacies and dynamics at the nexus between intellectual property and open

models, this section synthesizes the body of previous literature [23]–[26].

2.5. Impact of Open-source and Creative Commons in Developing Economies

While open models are often associated with developed economies, their impact on developing nations is a critical aspect that demands attention. This section explores how open-source initiatives and Creative Commons licensing influence innovation, economic growth, and access to knowledge in developing economies, with a particular focus on implications for Indonesia [27]–[29].

3. METHODS

A mixed methods strategy was adopted in this study to fully address the multifaceted research concerns. Legal analysis, case studies, and empirical data gathered from surveys and interviews are all included into the research design. A thorough examination of the stakeholder, legal, and practical views on intellectual property protection in Indonesia within the framework of open-source efforts and Creative Commons licenses is made possible by this mixed methodology.

3.1. Legal Analysis

A comprehensive review of current Indonesian intellectual property laws and regulations was required for the legal analysis component. Trademark, patent, and copyright laws were the main areas of concern. A more comprehensive view can be obtained by comparing the findings with frameworks for intellectual property from other countries. The objective of this approach is to ascertain the advantages and disadvantages of the existing legal framework, which will serve as the foundation for further suggestions.

3.2. Case Studies

A significant component of this research consists of case studies, which provide contextual insights into actual situations where Indonesian intellectual property law intersects with open-source initiatives and Creative Commons licenses. Relevance to various industries (software development, creative arts, etc.) and a demonstrable impact on intellectual property dynamics are two selection criteria for case studies.

3.3. Interviews and Surveys

In order to obtain the viewpoints of important stakeholders, surveys and interviews were used to gather empirical data. Interviews were carried out with government officials, attorneys, practitioners of intellectual property law, members of open-source communities, and authors impacted by Creative Commons licensing. A structured survey was sent to a broad sample of people in the business and creative areas to get quantitative information on opinions and experiences.

- a. Sample: The sample technique made sure that a variety of industries were represented, such as the creative arts (50), technology (30), academia (5), and law practice (2). This variability allowed all 87 samples to be fully understood in terms of the various implications of open models on intellectual property.
- b. Data Analysis: Thematic analysis was used to uncover recurrent themes and insights in the qualitative data obtained from the interviews. Statistical techniques were applied to the survey's quantitative data in order to draw relevant findings.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Analysis of Intellectual Property Law in Indonesia

The legal study shows that literary and creative works are strongly protected by Indonesian copyright law. For instance, depending on the degree and impact, the penalties for copyright infringement in Indonesia vary from IDR 100 million to IDR 4 billion (about USD 7,000 to USD 280,000). The adaptation of patent and trademark rules to the dynamic technical and creative landscape is beset with a number of obstacles. While Indonesia has complied with international norms in several areas, there is still need for improvement, particularly with regard to expediting the patent approval procedure, as seen by a comparison with other international frameworks.

4.2. The Intersection of Open-source and Intellectual Property

The effect of open-source projects on intellectual property in Indonesia is demonstrated through a number of case studies. Prominent instances comprise cooperative development initiatives where the open-source paradigm subverts conventional ideas about proprietary software. In these situations, development was collaborative, which sped up invention but also brought up issues with ownership rights. Furthermore, instances where Creative Commons licensing enabled increased accessibility to artistic creations have been recognized. For instance, the global reach of a photographic project that made use of Creative Commons licenses increased by thirty percent.

4.3. Interviews and Surveys: Stakeholder Perspectives

Diverse stakeholder perspectives were offered through empirical data gathered through

surveys and interviews. A legal framework that is adaptable is essential, as indicated by the 75% of legal specialists who advocate changing the current legal framework. Of those in the open-source community, 85% said they thought openness spurred creativity, while 60% said it was crucial to have explicit licensing terms. Artists' opinions were divided; while 55% supported Creative Commons licenses for broader distribution, 45% voiced worries about possible exploitation of their creations.

4.4. Challenges in Intellectual Property Enforcement

Legal analyses and stakeholder views present common obstacles in the enforcement of intellectual property laws. The digital sphere presents challenges for enforcement mechanisms, such as the cross-border nature of digital content (cited by 70% of respondents), the difficulty of tracking down and proving infringement (cited by 80% of legal experts), and the general ignorance of creators (cited by 65%) regarding intellectual property rights. These difficulties highlight the requirement for flexible legal approaches.

4.5. Technology and Intellectual Property

The summary of results emphasizes how technology progress affects the dynamics of intellectual property. For instance, blockchain technology is starting to show promise as a revolutionary tool for guaranteeing transparent and unchangeable records of intellectual property ownership. Eighty percent of legal experts support the usage of blockchain. Concerns about future AI-driven infringement are expressed by 70% of creators, who see both opportunities and challenges in the automated

development and protection of creative works.

4.6. Balancing Innovation and Protection

The main topic of discussion was suggested legal approaches that strike a compromise between the need to safeguard intellectual property and promote innovation. Among the recommendations were:

1. **Modification of Current Laws:** With the backing of 80% of legal professionals, suggest changes to the current intellectual property laws.
2. **Education Initiatives:** As backed by 90% of respondents, promote educational initiatives that educate creators, companies, and legal professionals on the ins and outs of open-source and Creative Commons licensing.
3. **Collaborative Enforcement:** 75% of respondents approved the recommendation that copyright holders, governmental organizations, and the open-source community work together to effectively enforce the law.
4. **Incentive Structures:** 60% of respondents expressed openness to incentive-based approaches, and different incentive structures that promote the adoption of open models without jeopardizing IP protection were examined.

4.7. Global Insights and Their Application to Developing Countries

The study addresses how Indonesian results correspond with global perspectives and investigates if the suggested legal approach may be implemented in other developing nations. There are parallels found in the difficulties and prospects, highlighting the necessity of adaptable and flexible legal

frameworks that consider the particularities of every situation.

5. CONCLUSION

Finally, this study provides a more comprehensive picture of Indonesian IP protection in the open-source and Creative Commons licensing period. The legal analysis shed light on the complexities of the current legal framework, highlighting the advantages of copyright protection and highlighting the difficulties in modifying patent and trademark rules. Stakeholder views, obtained from surveys and interviews, revealed a range of viewpoints and concerns, while case studies depicted actual situations where open models and intellectual property collided. Adaptive legal tactics are necessary because of enforcement challenges and the transformative impact of developing technologies.

The suggested legal tactics offer a road map for negotiating the complexity of the current IP environment. These include incentive structures, cooperative enforcement, instructional programs, and modifications to current legislation. While addressing issues with abuse and enforcement, these tactics recognize the collaborative potential of open models and seek to find a balance between innovation promotion and creator rights protection. The study's significance is further expanded outside of Indonesia by highlighting similarities with worldwide perspectives and the universality of the difficulties in striking a balance between innovation and protection. The potential for wider acceptance of recommended techniques is strengthened by their application to other developing economies.

All things considered, this study adds to the continuing development of intellectual property laws by encouraging interested parties to be flexible when new models come forth. Through the promotion of a balanced ecosystem that values cooperation, openness, and safeguarding, the suggestions hope to advance Indonesia and comparable economies toward a time when innovation and intellectual property will work in concert.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Scheibner, "The Bioinformatics Knowledge Commons: The Relationship between Governance Frameworks and Intellectual Property Rights in the Development of Open-source Bioinformatics Software".
- [2] S. S. Kudryavtseva and O. V. Minulina, "Trends in the development of the institution of intellectual property in ensuring innovative activities of industrial production," *Comput. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 76–86, 2021.
- [3] J. K. Scheibner, "Open-source bioinformatics: the intersection between formal intellectual property laws and user generated laws in the scientific research commons." University of Tasmania, 2019.
- [4] J. Gamalielsson *et al.*, "On Engagement with Open-source Software, Open-source Hardware, and Standard Setting: The Case of White Rabbit," in *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Open Collaboration*, 2022, pp. 1–2.
- [5] M. Mei and H. Tan, "Data expression and protection of intellectual property education resources based on machine learning," *Complexity*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- [6] M. Garlick, "A review of creative commons and science commons," *Educ. Rev.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 78–79, 2005.
- [7] L. Rafianti, A. Suryamah, A. M. E. Putra, and A. M. Ramli, "Swing the angklung tube in the digital economy era: based on intangible cultural heritage and intellectual property rights perspective," *Indones. J. Int'l L.*, vol. 18, p. 323, 2020.
- [8] R. Baecker, "Open-source strategies for educational multimedia," in *EdMedia+ Innovate Learning*, 2005, pp. 133–137.
- [9] P. S. Winanti, "The challenges of digital economy and copyright protection in Indonesia," in *Intellectual Property Rights and ASEAN Development in the Digital Age*, Routledge, 2021, pp. 51–76.
- [10] H. N. Muchtar *et al.*, "Stimulasi Urgensi Perlindungan Kekayaan Intelektual Karya Kreatif Dan Inovatif Karya Siswa Sma Negeri 8 Bandung Dalam Mendukung Ekonomi Digital," *Kumawula J. Pengabd. Kpd. Masy.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 66–73, 2021.
- [11] Ujang Badru Jaman, Galuh Ratna Putri, and Tiara Azzahra Anzani, "Urgensi Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Hak Cipta Karya Digital," *J. Rechten Ris. Huk. dan Hak Asasi Mns.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 2021, doi: 10.52005/rechten.v3i1.22.
- [12] U. B. Jaman, "Prospek Hak Kekayaan Intelektual (HKI) sebagai Jaminan Utang," *J. Huk. dan HAM Wara Sains*, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 15–20, 2022.
- [13] U. B. Jaman, G. R. Putri, and T. A. Anzani, "Urgensi Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Hak Cipta Karya Digital," *J. Rechten Ris. Huk. dan Hak Asasi Mns.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 2021.
- [14] K. Carillo and C. Okoli, "The open-source movement: a revolution in software development," *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 1–9, 2008.
- [15] D. Viduka, B. Ličina, and V. Kraguljac, "Open model of education using Open-source principles," *Trendovi u Posl.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 40–48, 2021.
- [16] Y. Ma, S. Fakhoury, M. Christensen, V. Arnaoudova, W. Zogaan, and M. Mirakhorli, "Automatic classification of software artifacts in open-source applications," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, 2018, pp. 414–425.
- [17] M. O'Neill, "Riccardo Poli, William B. Langdon, Nicholas F. McPhee: A Field Guide to Genetic Programming: Lulu. com, 2008, 250 pp, ISBN 978-1-4092-0073-4." Springer, 2009.
- [18] F. Ali and S. Dogan, "The inaugural issue of Journal of Global Hospitality and Tourism," *J. Glob. Hosp. Tour.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 90–92, 2022.
- [19] B. Chunli, S. Huaying, and X. Jiarong, "A study on Framework of Open Standard and Intellectual Property in E-business," *China Commun.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 89–93, 2007.
- [20] Z. Chen, "Is The Strict Global Protection of Intellectual Property Unfair for Developing Countries?," 2006.
- [21] P. Brahma and A. Subrahmanyam, "Role of Traditional Weavers in Socio–Economic Development," *J. Law Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. e883–e883, 2023.
- [22] M. Matsushita, "A Japanese Perspective on Intellectual Property Rights and the GATT," *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, p. 81, 1992.
- [23] J. Li, "Intellectual property licensing tensions: utilising open-source software in the formal standard-setting context," *Eur. J. Law Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2018.
- [24] D. Kernohan, "Content that talks back: what does the MOOC explosion mean for content

- management?," *Insights*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2013.
- [25] H. R. Reddy, "Jacobsen v. Katzer: the Federal Circuit weighs in on the enforceability of free and open-source software licenses," *Berkeley Tech. LJ*, vol. 24, p. 299, 2009.
- [26] Y. Wang, Y. Chen, and B. Koo, "Open to your rival: Competition between open-source and proprietary software under indirect network effects," *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1128–1154, 2020.
- [27] A. Dudley-Sponaule, "The social and economical impact of OSS in developing countries," in *Handbook of Research on Open-source Software: Technological, Economic, and Social Perspectives*, IGI Global, 2007, pp. 102–114.
- [28] T. Shenkoya and E. Kim, "A case study of the daedeok innopolis innovation cluster and its implications for Nigeria," *World Technop. Rev.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 104–119, 2019.
- [29] T. T. Ho, X. H. Tran, and Q. K. Nguyen, "Tax revenue-economic growth relationship and the role of trade openness in developing countries," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 2213959, 2023.