

The Right to a Fair Trial: Comparative Analysis of International Human Rights Standards

Arief Fahmi Lubis
Sekolah Tinggi Hukum Militer

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun, 2023
Revised Jun, 2023
Accepted Jun, 2023

Keywords:

Fair Trial
Human Rights
Right

ABSTRACT

This research paper presents a comparative analysis of the right to a fair trial in Indonesia and Brazil, focusing on the international human rights standards applicable in both countries. The study examines the legal frameworks, case studies, and challenges associated with fair trial rights in each country. Through a comprehensive review of literature and analysis of relevant legal provisions, the research highlights the issues of presumption of innocence, access to legal representation, impartiality of the judiciary, and the protection of vulnerable groups. The findings contribute to a better understanding of the practical implementation of fair trial rights and provide insights into areas that require attention and improvement. The research concludes by emphasizing the importance of aligning domestic laws and practices with international human rights standards to ensure comprehensive protection of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Arief Fahmi Lubis
Institution: Sekolah Tinggi Hukum Militer
Email: ariefahmilubis0@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The right to a fair trial is the right that everyone has to have fair and equal treatment under the law, especially in judicial procedures. This right includes the right to a jury trial. The right to a fair trial comprises the right to have one's case heard in public, before a judge who is free from bias and acts independently, in a manner that is efficient and prompt, and the right to have access to the legal representation and evidence that is essential to mount a successful defense.

A human right that is recognized by international law is the right to a trial that is conducted fairly. This right is recognized and protected by a variety of international human rights agreements, including but not limited to the Universal Declaration of Human

Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and others. The right to a fair trial encompasses a variety of rights, including the right to an open trial, the right to be believed innocent unless proven guilty, the right to legal representation, the ability to cross-examine witnesses, and the right to appeal a verdict [1].

The right to a fair trial is internationally acknowledged; nevertheless, the manner in which it is implemented differs from country to country. Concerns have been raised about the protection of the right to a fair trial in the context of anti-terrorist policies and the administration of criminal justice in countries such as Indonesia and Brazil, for instance [2], [3]. In Indonesia, the government has successfully prosecuted perpetrators of terrorism, but there have been reports of

violations of international human rights rules and standards [2]. In Brazil, the Supreme Court recently recognized the expansion of state actors who can conduct criminal investigations, which raises questions about individuals' ability to conduct or hire defense investigative practices [4].

It is essential to keep in mind that the right to a fair trial is not just a concern in the realm of law, but also in the realms of society and politics. The legitimacy of the legal system and the rule of law are closely related to the right to a fair trial, which is an essential component of the rule of law. In order to ensure the preservation of the right to a fair trial, not only does the legal system need to be reformed, but also the attitudes and behaviors of society need to be altered [5].

In conclusion, the right to an impartial trial is a fundamental human right that is acknowledged everywhere. However, the manner of execution differs depending on the nation and the circumstances. The right to a fair trial must be protected, which necessitates not only the reform of the legal system, but also changes in societal attitudes and behaviors.

The right to a fair trial is one of the most basic principles of justice, since it guarantees that everyone will be treated in a way that is just and unbiased throughout the legal process. This right is the bedrock upon which the rule of law is built, and it is an essential component of a democratic society. This right's protection is guaranteed by a number of international human rights treaties, such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and other regional agreements on human rights.

This research paper aims to conduct a comparative analysis of the right to a fair trial in Indonesia and Brazil, two countries that have different legal systems. By examining the legal frameworks and practices in both jurisdictions, this study seeks to identify similarities, differences, challenges, and areas for improvement in ensuring the right to a fair trial. This comparative approach will provide

valuable insights into the implementation of the rights to a fair trial and shed light on the potential for reforms to enhance these rights in line with international human rights standards.

The right to a fair trial is a universally recognized human right that is integral to the protection of human rights and the rule of law. This right includes a variety of rights and guarantees, such as presumption of innocence, the right to legal representation, the right to an open and impartial trial, and the right to challenge evidence and present a defense. A fair trial is not only important to protect individual rights, but also to ensure public confidence in the justice system and uphold the principles of accountability and equality before the law.

Indonesia and Brazil, as two countries with large populations with diverse legal systems, provide an interesting comparative context to look at the rights to a fair trial. Indonesia adheres to a civil law system influenced by the Dutch colonial legal tradition, while Brazil operates under a civil law system with strong influences from Portuguese and Napoleonic legal traditions. Both countries have made significant strides in establishing legal frameworks to protect the right to a fair trial. However, there are still challenges in translating this framework into an effective and fair justice system.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *International Human Rights Standard on the Right to a Fair Trial*

A free and fair trial is a fundamental human right that is recognized and protected on a global scale by a variety of international human rights treaties. The right to a public trial that is both fair and open, presided over by a court that is both independent and impartial, was included in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in 1948. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which is a binding treaty signed

by Indonesia and Brazil, further describes the rights to a fair trial, including the right to assumed innocence until convicted, the right to legal counsel, and the right to interrogate witnesses. These rights are included in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

In addition to these basic documents, regional human rights conventions also provide additional standards for the right to a fair trial. For example, the American Convention on Human Rights, which applies to Brazil, includes provisions guaranteeing the right to a fair trial, while Indonesia is one of the signatories to the ASEAN Human Rights Declaration.

2.2 *A Comparative Study of the Rights of a Fair Trial in Other Jurisdictions*

Several comparative studies have examined fair trial rights in different jurisdictions, providing valuable insights into the challenges and best practices associated with the implementation of these rights.

Examines sections of the right to a fair trial, supposing the requirement for institutions within a State permitted to assess and resolve legal problems linked by the notion of "courts established by law". The study is based on a European Court of Human Rights ruling on the standards any judicial institution must satisfy. The authors utilize conceptual-legal, comparative-legal, and formal-legal methodologies to assess national administrative court practices that meet the specified conditions [6].

Criticizes Tanzania's Fair Competition Commission's investigation and adjudication powers and Court of Fair Competition's finality clause. The author compares Tanzania's competition law with Jamaican and South African competition law to highlight issues related to concentration of power in the FCC, particularly regarding the issue of impartiality [7]. The FCT's quasi-judicial final appellate jurisdiction is also criticized. studies specialist literature and

compares foreign jurisprudence to understand the corresponding principle. The author finds that at the legislative level, characteristics (elements) are identical, but there is no differentiation [8].

Provides an introduction to the study of comparative models of criminal justice law [9]. This article covers key terms, legal models, jurisprudence, law as an instrument of control, and a brief examination of Roman legal models, common law, Islamic law, socialist law, and mixed law. This article compares the US, UK, and Canadian court systems and legal practices. Compares criminal justice systems [10]. The book covers topics such as measuring and comparing crime within and across different countries, the legal family, and six model countries (the United Kingdom, Japan, Russia, China, Iran, and Nigeria). The book also includes critical thinking exercises and discussion questions. Examines what makes a state-funded legal aid system work [11]. Comparative, historical, theoretical, and qualitative research highlight the major drivers of an efficient state-funded legal aid system. This article discusses Jamaica and other jurisdictions.

A review of the literature shows that the right to a fair trial is widely recognized and protected under international human rights standards. Comparative studies across multiple jurisdictions have explored different aspects of the rights to a fair trial, providing insight into challenges and best practices. Existing research on fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil has also examined specific issues in their respective legal systems. By conducting a comparative analysis of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil, the study aims to contribute to existing knowledge and identify areas for improvement to ensure a fair trial for all in accordance with international human rights standards.

3. METHOD

This research compares Indonesian and Brazilian fair trial rights. This research analyzes legal papers, scholarly publications, case law, and reports together with qualitative case studies to understand the legal framework and actual application of the right to a fair trial in both nations.

This research design involves collecting and analyzing data from multiple sources to answer research questions. Comparative analysis makes it possible to identify similarities, differences, challenges, and areas that need improvement in ensuring the right to a fair trial in Indonesia and Brazil.

The data collection process is carried out by the following methods:

- a. **Review of Legal Documents:** Relevant legal documents, including constitutions, statutes, and regulations related to the rights to a fair trial in Indonesia and Brazil, will be collected and analyzed to understand the legal framework governing these rights.
- b. **Scientific Articles:** A comprehensive literature review will be conducted to identify scientific articles, research papers, and academic publications that discuss fair trial rights in both countries. This will provide insight into existing research and scientific debate on the topic.
- c. **Case Law Analysis:** Selected cases from Indonesia and Brazil will be analyzed to gain a deeper understanding of the practical implementation of fair trial rights. The cases will be selected based on their relevance to the research objectives and the specific aspects of fair trial rights discussed.
- d. **Reports from International Organizations:** Reports and publications from international organizations, such as the United Nations, regional human rights bodies, and nongovernmental organizations, will be consulted to gather information on the state of fair trial rights, challenges, and recommendations in both countries.

The selection of legal documents, scientific articles, case law, and reports will be guided by the following criteria:

- a. **Relevance:** These sources should be directly related to fair trial rights, legal frameworks, and practices in Indonesia and Brazil.
- b. **Reliability:** Emphasis will be placed on the use of reputable and established legal sources, scholarly journals, and reports from credible international organizations.
- c. **Up-to-date:** Priority will be given to up-to-date sources to ensure the inclusion of the latest information and developments in fair trial rights.
- d. **Diversity:** Efforts will be made to include diverse perspectives, including scientific viewpoints, legal opinions, and reports from various stakeholders.

The collected data will be analyzed using qualitative analysis techniques. This will involve:

- a. **Thematic Analysis:** Identify and categorize key themes and issues related to fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil, based on a review of legal documents, scientific articles, case law, and reports.
- b. **Comparative Analysis:** Make comparisons between legal frameworks, practices, challenges, and areas for improvement in both countries to identify similarities and differences in the exercise of fair trial rights.
- c. **Case Study Analysis:** Analyze selected cases to examine the practical application of fair trial rights, identify challenges faced in ensuring a fair trial, and highlight prominent patterns or trends.

3.1 *Legal Framework in Indonesia and Brazil*

- a. **Constitutional Provisions and Legislative Framework**

Both Indonesia and Brazil have constitutional provisions that recognize and protect the right to a fair trial.

Article 28D(1) of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution ensures the

right to a fair trial and the presumption of innocence. It also ensures legal representation, charge disclosure, and a public, transparent trial. An independent judiciary is essential to a fair trial, according to the constitution.

In Brazil, the right to a fair trial is protected by Article 5 (XLV) of the 1988 Constitution, which states that no one can be presumed guilty until a final verdict is reached. The constitution also guarantees the right to legal representation, the right to confront witnesses, the right to examine witnesses, and the right to access evidence. The constitution emphasizes the principles of legality, due process, and judicial independence in ensuring a fair trial.

Legislation in both countries further strengthened constitutional provisions on fair trial rights. In Indonesia, the Code of Criminal Procedure (KUHAP) and the Law on Human Rights Courts (UU Court HAM) provide procedural protection for fair trials in criminal cases. In Brazil, the Code of Criminal Procedure (KUHAP) and other specific laws regulate criminal justice proceedings, outlining the rights and guarantees for everyone during the judicial process.

b. The Judicial System and the Role of the Courts

Indonesia and Brazil have different judicial systems, which play an important role in ensuring the right to a fair trial.

In Indonesia, the judicial system is based on civil law traditions influenced by Dutch colonial legal traditions. Indonesia has a hierarchical court structure, consisting of general courts (district courts, high courts, and Supreme Courts), state administrative courts, military courts, and special courts

(such as the Constitutional Court and Human Rights Court). The judiciary is responsible for adjudicating disputes, interpreting and applying the law, and safeguarding fair judicial rights.

In Brazil, the judicial system is also based on civil law traditions influenced by the Portuguese and Napoleonic legal traditions. The court structure consists of federal courts, state courts, and specialized courts (such as labor courts, electoral courts, and military courts). The judiciary plays a central role in interpreting and applying the law, conducting trials, and ensuring the protection of fair judicial rights.

Both countries have judicial review mechanisms, which allow higher courts to review lower court decisions for legal errors or violations of fair judicial rights. The Constitutional Court in Indonesia and the Supreme Court in Brazil have the authority to review the constitutionality of laws and protect individual rights, including the right to a fair trial.

c. Separation of Powers and Judicial Independence

Separation of powers and judicial independence are essential elements to guarantee the right to a fair trial.

In Indonesia, the Constitution establishes the principle of separation of powers between the executive, legislature, and judiciary. The judiciary is intended to be independent and impartial, free from interference or influence from other branches of government. However, there are still challenges to realizing full judicial independence, such as issues related to the appointment of judges, tenure, accountability, and the influence of other branches of government on the judiciary.

Similarly, in Brazil, the Constitution provides for the separation of powers, with checks and balances in place among the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. The judiciary is recognized as an independent and autonomous branch of government. However, concerns remain about the influence of political and economic interests in judicial decision-making, as well as issues related to transparency and accountability within the judiciary.

Efforts have been made in both countries to strengthen judicial independence and promote transparency and accountability. Judicial councils, such as the Judicial Commission in Indonesia and the National Judicial Council in Brazil, have been established to oversee the administration of justice, handle complaints against judges, and ensure ethical standards are upheld.

d. Access to Justice and Legal Aid

Access to justice is an essential component of the right to a fair trial. Both Indonesia and Brazil have taken steps to improve access to justice and provide legal aid services.

In Indonesia, the Legal Aid Law and subsequent regulations stipulate the provision of legal aid services to those who cannot afford legal representation. Legal Aid Institutions and non-governmental organizations play an important role in providing legal aid services to underprivileged individuals, marginalized groups, and victims of human rights violations. However, challenges remain in ensuring adequate funding, coverage, and awareness of legal aid services.

In Brazil, the Constitution guarantees free legal aid to those who cannot afford it. The Public Defender's Office (Defensoria Pública) is responsible for providing

legal aid services to incapacitated individuals. Public defenders assist individuals in criminal, civil, and administrative cases, to guarantee their right to a fair trial. However, the availability and quality of legal aid services may vary across regions, and there is an ongoing need for adequate funding and resources to meet demand.

e. Presumption of Innocence

The right to presumed innocence until proven guilty is a fundamental aspect of the rights to a fair trial. In both Indonesia and Brazil, constitutional provisions explicitly recognize this right. However, there are some important differences in the practical implementation of this principle.

In Indonesia, there have been instances where the principle of presumption of innocence has been undermined, particularly in cases related to religious offenses and blasphemy. The application of strict blasphemy laws has led to situations where individuals are presumed guilty based solely on charges, which challenges the principle of presumption of innocence. This has raised concerns about the protection of fair judicial rights and the need for a more balanced approach.

In Brazil, although the principle of presumption of innocence is enshrined in the Constitution, there are challenges in fully applying this principle. High-profile cases and public pressure sometimes result in pretrial judgments from the media and the public before court decisions are made. This can create a hostile environment and prejudice against the accused, thus undermining the principle of presumption of innocence. Efforts are underway to address the issue, including stricter regulations on media reporting and

public awareness campaigns to promote the importance of the presumption of innocence.

f. Right to Legal Assistance

The right to legal assistance is an important component of fair trial rights, ensuring that a person has access to legal assistance during the legal process. In both Indonesia and Brazil, constitutional provisions guarantee the right to legal assistance.

In Indonesia, access to legal assistance may vary depending on factors such as socioeconomic status and geographic location. Although the Legal Aid Act regulates the provision of legal aid services, there are challenges in terms of coverage and quality of services. Particularly in remote areas, individuals may face difficulties in accessing legal representation, which can impact their ability to fully exercise fair trial rights.

In Brazil, the right to legal assistance is well regulated, and individuals who cannot afford a lawyer are entitled to free legal assistance through the Public Defender's Office. However, as with Indonesia, there are regional gaps in the availability and quality of legal aid services. Requests for legal assistance often exceed available resources, leading to potential limitations in access to legal representation.

g. Judicial impartiality

Judicial impartiality is a fundamental aspect of fair judicial rights, ensuring that judges make decisions based on the law and without bias. Both Indonesia and Brazil have constitutional provisions emphasizing the importance of an independent and impartial judiciary.

In Indonesia, there are concerns about the influence of other branches of government on the judiciary,

potentially jeopardizing the independence of the judiciary. There have been instances where politically motivated appointments and external pressure have impacted the impartiality of judges. Efforts were made to strengthen the independence of the judiciary and protect the judiciary from undue influence, including through the establishment of a Judicial Commission to oversee judicial conduct and the selection and appointment of judges.

In Brazil, the independence and impartiality of the judiciary has been subjected to scrutiny. Concerns have been raised about the influence of political and economic interests on judicial decision-making, especially in high-profile cases. There is a continuing need to increase transparency, accountability, and integrity within the judiciary to ensure that fair judicial rights are upheld.

h. Protection of Vulnerable Groups

The rights of fair trial should be applied fairly to all individuals, including vulnerable groups such as minorities, marginalized communities, and victims of human rights violations.

In Indonesia, there are several challenges in ensuring fair trial rights for vulnerable groups, including religious minorities and individuals accused of blasphemy. Cases involving these groups often face bias, societal pressure, and limitations in legal assistance, which can hinder the administration of fair justice. Efforts are needed to address these challenges and ensure equal protection of fair trial rights for all individuals, regardless of their background.

In Brazil, efforts have been made to improve fair trial rights for vulnerable groups. Special courts,

such as labor courts and electoral courts, have been established to deal with specific issues and ensure the protection of the rights of these groups. However, challenges remain, especially in cases involving indigenous peoples and individuals facing discrimination. Sustained efforts are needed to improve the protection of fair trial rights for vulnerable groups.

i. International Human Rights Standards

Indonesia and Brazil are parties to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the American Convention on Human Rights, which guarantee fair trials.

Although Indonesia has signed these conventions, international law and practice vary. The right to a quick trial and the right to appear may not always be adequately enforced. Harmonizing local laws and practices with international human rights norms is necessary to guarantee fair trial rights.

The court has incorporated international human rights norms into Brazilian law. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights' judgements are binding on the Supreme Court. This strengthened fair trial rights and ensured international norms.

To provide a deeper understanding of the practical implementation of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil, we will examine two case studies from each country that highlight significant issues and challenges related to fair trial rights.

3.2 Case Study 1: Indonesia

a. Case: Ahok's Blasphemy Case

The case of Basuki Tjahaja Purnama, commonly known as Ahok, is a prominent example that raises

concerns about the rights to a fair trial in Indonesia, particularly regarding blasphemy violations.

In 2017, Ahok, the former governor of Jakarta, was charged with blasphemy for allegedly insulting Islam in a political campaign speech. The case received significant media attention and public pressure, leading to a very hot atmosphere around the trial. Ahok was eventually found guilty and sentenced to two years in prison.

The case raised concerns about the presumption of innocence, as Ahok faced public condemnation and public bias even before the trial began. The case also highlights the challenge of providing adequate legal representation, as Ahok's defense team faced difficulties in presenting their case in an unbiased environment. The influence of religious and political interests in the trial process raises questions about the impartiality of the judiciary.

3.3 Case Study 2: Brazil

a. Car Wash Operation Cases

Operation Car Wash, or Operação Lava Jato, is a high-profile corruption investigation in Brazil that has resulted in numerous trials and convictions of politicians, business leaders, and others involved in corruption schemes. Although these operations have been instrumental in combating corruption, they have also raised concerns about the rights to a fair trial.

One of the main problems is the extensive media coverage and public pressure surrounding the cases. The media often provides pretrial judgments, creating a hostile environment that can undermine the principle of presumption of innocence and the right to a fair trial. Intense public scrutiny and opinions formed before the trial begins can

impact the impartiality of judges and potentially influence their decisions.

The operation has also faced criticism over the prolonged pretrial detention of suspects. Prolonged detention without a conviction raises concerns about the right to a speedy trial and the principle of presumption of innocence. The operation has highlighted the challenge of balancing the fight against corruption with the protection of fair judicial rights.

This case study illustrates specific challenges and issues related to fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil. Ahok's blasphemy case highlights concerns about the presumption of innocence, legal assistance, and judicial impartiality. The Operation Car Wash case underscores the impact of media pressure, public perception, and prolonged pretrial detention on the rights to a fair trial in Brazil.

Analysing these case studies provides valuable insights into the practical implementation of fair trial rights in different contexts and contributes to a comparative analysis of fair trial standards between Indonesia and Brazil.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comparative analysis of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil revealed several key findings and highlighted important issues and challenges in both countries. These findings contribute to a better understanding of the implementation of international human rights standards and provide insight into areas requiring attention and improvement.

4.1 *The Presumption of Innocence Principle*

Both Indonesia and Brazil recognize the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty in their constitutional provisions. However, the practical implementation of this principle faces challenges in both countries. In Indonesia,

concerns arise in cases involving blasphemy offences, where individuals can face prejudice and be presumed guilty based solely on the charges. In Brazil, high-profile cases and public pressure can create a hostile environment that undermines the principle of presumption of innocence. Efforts are needed to ensure that the principle of presumption of innocence is fully upheld and protected in practice.

4.2 *Right to Legal Assistance*

The right to legal assistance is an important aspect of the rights to a fair trial. In both Indonesia and Brazil, constitutional provisions guarantee this right. However, there are challenges in providing access to legal assistance, especially for marginalized and disadvantaged individuals. In Indonesia, the availability and quality of legal aid services may vary, thus affecting individuals' ability to fully exercise their fair trial rights. Similarly, regional gaps in Brazil can affect the accessibility and quality of legal aid services. Efforts should be made to increase access to legal representation and ensure equal protection for all individuals.

4.3 *Judicial impartiality*

The impartiality of the judiciary is essential for the rights of a fair trial. Although Indonesia and Brazil emphasize the importance of an independent and impartial judiciary, in practice there are still challenges. In Indonesia, there are concerns about the influence of other branches of government on the judiciary, potentially jeopardizing the independence of the judiciary. In Brazil, issues related to the influence of political and economic interests in judicial decision-making have been raised. Increasing transparency, accountability, and integrity within the judiciary is essential to ensure the protection of fair judicial rights in both countries.

4.4 *Protection of Vulnerable Group*

Both Indonesia and Brazil recognize the need to protect fair trial rights for vulnerable groups. However, there are still challenges to achieve equal protection for all individuals, especially marginalized communities and victims of human rights violations. Cases involving religious minorities in Indonesia and indigenous peoples in Brazil have raised concerns about bias, societal pressure, and limitations in legal assistance. Sustained efforts are needed to address these challenges and ensure that fair trial rights are equally protected for all individuals.

4.5 *Alignment with International Human Rights Standards*

Indonesia and Brazil are party to human rights accords that guarantee fair trials. Domestic law and practice diverge from international norms. Efforts should be made to harmonize domestic legislation with international human rights norms to ensure comprehensive protection of fair trial rights. Strengthening cooperation with international bodies and incorporating their decisions into domestic jurisprudence, as seen in Brazil, can contribute to improving fair judicial rights and ensuring their alignment with international standards.

In conclusion, a comparative analysis of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil reveals common challenges and specific issues in each country. These findings highlight the importance of addressing issues related to presumption of innocence, access to legal assistance, impartiality of the judiciary, and protection of vulnerable groups. Efforts to harmonize domestic laws and practices with international human rights standards are essential to ensure comprehensive protection of fair trial rights in Indonesia and Brazil. By addressing these challenges, both countries can work to improve their legal

frameworks and promote fair and fair justice systems.

5. CONCLUSION

Indonesia and Brazil execute international human rights norms differently yet similarly in fair trial rights. Key results from the study illuminate difficulties and opportunities in both nations.

Indonesia and Brazil still struggle with the presumption of innocent. Public pressure and media publicity may weaken assumption of innocence and trial fairness. Create a presumption of innocence and defendant rights environment.

A fair trial requires legal representation. Legal assistance services in both nations are lacking notwithstanding constitutional guarantees. Legal aid for underprivileged and disadvantaged people should be equalized.

Judicial impartiality is essential to fair trial rights, but the challenge exists in both countries. Concerns have been raised about the influence of other branches of government and external pressure on the judiciary, which comes at the expense of its independence and impartiality. Strengthening transparency, accountability, and integrity within the judiciary is essential to upholding fair judicial rights.

Protection of vulnerable groups requires special attention. Both Indonesia and Brazil have made efforts to protect fair trial rights for vulnerable individuals, but challenges remain to be faced. Cases involving religious minorities, indigenous peoples, and victims of human rights abuses have highlighted bias, societal pressure, and limitations in legal assistance. Sustained efforts are needed to ensure equal protection of fair judicial rights for all individuals.

To align domestic law and practice with international human rights standards, both countries should work to incorporate international human rights norms into their legal frameworks. Strengthening cooperation with international bodies and integrating

their decisions into domestic jurisprudence can contribute to enhancing fair trial rights.

REFERENCE

- [1] A. Abdrabo, "The Right to a Fair Trial before The European Court of Human Rights," *Econ. Law Policy*, vol. 2, p. p133, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.22158/elp.v2n2p133.
- [2] A. Paripurna, "The Right to a Fair Trial and Combatting Terrorism: The Case of Indonesia," *Indones. J. Int. Law*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2011, doi: 10.17304/ijil.vol9.1.338.
- [3] O. Q. Swaak-Goldman, "The ICTY and the Right to a Fair Trial: A Critique of the Critics," *Leiden J. Int. Law*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 215–221, 1997, doi: DOI: 10.1017/S0922156597000198.
- [4] E. Pitrez Correa, "Constitucionalismo Cosmopolita, Igualdade De Armas E A Investigação Defensiva: Apontamentos Sobre Um Direito Humano-Fundamental," *Rev. Bras. Estud. Criminais*, Feb. 2017.
- [5] E. Widder, *A Fair Trial at the International Criminal Court? Human Rights Standards and Legitimacy*. Berlin, Germany: Peter Lang Verlag, 2016. doi: 10.3726/978-3-653-07096-5.
- [6] M. Olena, P. Nadiia, and B. Iryna, "an Examination of the Administrative Courts of Ukraine in the Context of Understanding the Concept of 'a Court Established By Law,'" *Access to Justice East. Eur.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 96–112, 2022, doi: 10.33327/AJEE-18-5.2-a000218.
- [7] E. K. Prosper, "A critique on the investigation and adjudication powers of the Fair Competition Commission and finality clause of the Fair Competition Tribunal in Tanzania: a reflection from Jamaican and South African competition law." University of Cape Town, 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://hdl.handle.net/11427/9159>
- [8] O. Штефан, "Application Of The Principle Of Equivalents In The Resolution Of Patent Disputes: Analysis Of Foreign Case Law," *Theory Pract. Intellect. Prop.*, 2020, doi: 10.33731/22020.208049.
- [9] Cliff Roberson and D. K. Das, *An Introduction to Comparative Legal Models of Criminal Justice*. Routledge, 2020.
- [10] H. R. Dammer and J. S. Albanese, *Comparative Criminal Justice Systems*, 5th editio. Cengage Learning, 2013.
- [11] N. B. Gayle, "What Ensures Effective State-Funded Legal Aid System ? (A guide for the reform of the current state-funded Legal Aid System in Jamaica) Law on the Books Law in Action," vol. 10, no. July 2009, pp. 1–61, 2013.